POLICY BRIEF



DROUGHTS IN THE AMAZON

Flávia R.C. Costa*, José Antonio Marengo*, Ana Luisa M. Albernaz, Ana Paula Cunha, Nicolás Cuvi, Jhan-Carlo Espinoza, Joice Ferreira, Ayan Santos Fleischmann , Juan Carlos Jimenez-Muñoz, Maria Belén Páez, Luciano Carramaschi de Alagão Querido, Jochen Schöngart | ***Co-lead authors**

KEY MESSAGES

- Strong droughts in the Amazon have been increasing in frequency and intensity, from four in a century to four in less than 25 years, in concert with increasing deforestation and global warming. The synergy of droughts, deforestation, fire, and forest degradation have the potential to drive the Amazon to a tipping point where this globally important ecosystem may significantly reduce its capacity to provide critical services such as water recycling, carbon storage, and provision of goods for human well-being.
- Droughts increase tree mortality, and thus biomass loss, imperiling the functioning of the carbon sink provided by tree growth. Droughts also increase animal mortality, especially when river levels decrease abruptly and when forests are disturbed by fire and forest degradation.
- Droughts increase the risk of fires, with direct impacts such as carbon emissions and the loss of biodiversity and ecosystem services, while also threatening human health and food security and feedbacking to global warming.

- The socioeconomic impacts of droughts are large, and result in social, cultural and economic vulnerability. The impacts include threats to water security and quality, food security, public health, human rights, local-to-large scale economies, mobility, energy production, river bank stability, and human migrations.
- The impacts of droughts vary in nature and intensity across social communities (e.g., Indigenous, afro-descendant, *ribeirinhos, caboclos,* etc.), predominant economic activities (e.g., fishing, farming, extractivism, urban services), gender, age, and the regional differences between countries and the Amazon regions (e.g., lowlands, Amazonian Andes, and foothills).
- There are critical gaps to the knowledge required for planning future and immediate responses to climate crises. These include the lack of comprehensive monitoring of Amazonian forests, climate, and hydrology to inform adaptation programs, and the lack of social, economic, cultural, and demographic data at local and regional scales, especially concerning vulnerable populations.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Adopt immediately the UNFCCC Paris Agreement targets for reducing carbon emissions to slow down the increasing frequency of droughts. At the same time, redirect subsidies and public and private investments from carbon-intensive activities to those that conserve nature reserves and restore forests, and increase budget allocations for adaptation and management of catastrophes.
- Stop deforestation and forest degradation, and establish a program to identify priority areas that require immediate conservation, and reinforce the protection of those already formally protected, including Indigenous lands and the buffer-zones around protected areas. All these actions are needed to guarantee the water production of the Amazon forests and to reduce the occurrence of low flows of rivers.
- Promote the creation of new climatesmart jobs in the conservation sector to generate alternative revenue streams. One such alternative is the adoption of diversified agroforestry and agroecological systems as part of restoration processes, improving food security, natural resources management, and alternative livelihoods.
- Implement monitoring programs and early warning systems for droughts, including:
 - Global and regional Earth System models and continental hydrological models from the Andes to the Atlantic oceans
 - Detection of early signs of animal and vegetation stress due to droughts;

- Policy harmonization on integrated fire management, and real-time fire monitoring and data sharing across jurisdictions.
- Implement the mandates established in 2022 by the UNFCCC regarding the human rights-based and climate justice approach. Assess the vulnerability and exposure of populations through an intersectoral approach for the design of policies; actions should be grounded in a comprehensive understanding of the local realities of different socioeconomic groups and regions.
- Implement the Loss and Damage and the Adaptation Funds, and improve funding for actions on drought mitigation and adaptation through international and national funds. Special attention is required for programs focused on:
 - Training, education, fire vigilance, and firefighting;
 - Developments on science, technology, and innovation for better water treatment strategies and higher storage capacities;
 - Improving food security at local scales;
 - Science, technology, and monitoring on diseases born or aggravated by droughts.
- Invest in capacity building of local people and governments to directly access diverse financial mechanisms for adaptation, and in co-production of solutions with local rural and urban populations to manage droughtcaused disasters. Prioritize research and monitoring efforts to fill environmental, ecological, and socioeconomic data gaps.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



1. CLIMATIC AND HYDROLOGICAL DYNAMICS

Natural causes of droughts. Since the beginning of the 21st century four extreme droughts have occurred in the Amazon. These droughts were each classified as a "one-in-a-100-year event" at the time of occurrence, and yet, each was surpassed by the next one ¹⁻⁴. Most of the severe droughts in the Amazonian region are associated with anomalous sea surface temperatures (SST) in the Equatorial Pacific, known as the El Niño event. However, droughts in 2005 and 2010 were largely induced by high SST anomalies in the Tropical North Atlantic (TNA). Both El Niño and warm TNA inhibit rainfall over the Amazon ^{5,6}. Another contributor to droughts is the warm phase of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO)^{6,7}, characterized by a cyclical variation of the large-scale oceanic and atmospheric conditions in the TNA. The majority (80%) of the historical severe hydrological droughts in the Amazon basin coincide with warm phases of AMO (1925-1970 and since 1995), weakening in the moisture transport into and inside the Amazon east of the Andes by means of atmospheric rivers ("flying rivers")^{8,9} (BOX 1). The atmospheric rivers transport a tremendous amount of water in the form of vapor, greater even than the flow of 19 Gt of water out of the Amazon River itself.

Natural climatic variability vs human induced droughts. Although droughts have a natural climatological component and have always happened in the Amazon, the frequency and intensity of droughts are increasing, mostly due to human-induced global warming, deforestation, and forest degradation ¹⁰. Modeling and observational studies suggest that the Amazonian droughts occur via a decline in precipitation and late onset of the rainy season (longer dry season) during El Niño and/or TNA years. On the other hand, increasing global mean surface temperature (i.e. global warming) reduces precipitation and strongly elevates local temperatures, thus increasing water loss through increasing evapotranspiration, leading to the large water deficits in terrestrial and aquatic systems¹¹. Climate change has increased the likelihood of hydrological droughts (which impact river flow) by a factor of 10, while agricultural droughts (which impact agricultural activities) have become about 30 times more likely¹¹. Moreover, multiple years of deforestation in the Amazon have produced extensive dry land surfaces, where extensive pastures and croplands significantly reduce water return to the atmosphere when vegetation senesces in the dry season. These contribute ~4% to the atmospheric drying trend, with deforestationdrought feedback increasing as deforestation accumulates 12,13.

In 2023, the Amazon experienced an extreme drought and warmth situation. The integrated drought index (combining meteorological, hydrological, and agricultural droughts) of 2023 was classified as severe-extreme in the Western Amazon region of Brazil, over the Bolivian and Peruvian Amazon regions, and extending to most of the Amazon south of 5°S (Figure 1 a, **b**)². A recent study shows that the transition from La Niña in 2022 to El Niño in 2023 is related to this historical event ². In addition, an exceptionally warm TNA² and the background global warming signal ¹² exacerbated the El Niño impacts over the region during the austral winter and Spring of 2023, such that El Niño and climate change were each responsible for 50% of the precipitation reduction.

a. Drought Affected Areas (km²)





FIGURE 1. a) Area affected by droughts in the Amazonian region since 1981; b) areas affected by hydrological drought as represented by the Integrated Drought Index (IDI ⁹⁹), using SPI-12. The IDI combines the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), and Available Soil Water (ASW) together with the Vegetation Water Supply Index (VSWI) and thus represents the response of meteorological, hydrological, and agricultural droughts.

BOX 1. DEFINITIONS

Agricultural drought: conditions that result in adverse crop responses, usually because of limited soil moisture and high transpiration demand to plants.

Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO):

the AMO is an ongoing series of long-duration changes in the sea surface temperature of the North Atlantic Ocean, with cool and warm phases that may last for 20-40 years at a time and a difference of about 1°F between extremes. These changes are natural and have been occurring for at least the last 1,000 years (https://www.aoml.noaa.gov/phod/amo_faq.php)

Atmospheric rivers ("flying rivers"): relatively long, narrow regions in the atmosphere – like rivers in the sky – that transport most of the water vapor outside of the tropics. (https://www.noaa. gov/stories/what-are-atmospheric-rivers)

Drought: a period of abnormally dry weather sufficiently long to cause a serious hydrological imbalance. From a climatic point of view, a drought results from a shortfall in precipitation over an extended period of time, from the inadequate timing of precipitation relative to the needs of the vegetation cover, or from a negative water balance due to an increased potential evapotranspiration caused by high temperatures ⁹⁷.

El Niño: refers to a above-average warming of the sea surface temperatures, in the central and eastern tropical Pacific Ocean. This leads to the low-level surface winds, which normally blow from east to west along the equator ("easterly winds"), to instead weaken or, in some cases, start blowing the other direction. El Niño recurs irregularly, from two years to a decade, and no two events are exactly alike. El Niño events can disrupt normal weather patterns globally. (https://www.usgs.gov/ faqs/what-el-nino-and-what-are-its-effects) **Hydrological drought:** prolonged period of below-normal precipitation, causing deficiencies in water supply, as measured by below-normal stream flow, lake and reservoir levels, groundwater levels, and depleted soil moisture content.

Hydraulic failure: the loss of the capacity to conduct water through the plant vessels beyond a threshold for survival, that occurs during drought-induced water stress.

Igapó: vegetation that is seasonally flooded by river waters poor in sediments and nutrients, descending from the Guiana and Brazilian Shields.

La Niña: refers to the periodic cooling of ocean surface temperatures in the central and eastcentral equatorial Pacific. Typically, La Niña events occur every 3 to 5 years or so, but on occasion can occur over successive years. La Niña represents the cool phase of the El Niño cycle (https://www.weather.gov/iwx/la_nina)

Mega-wildfires: fires spreading over 10,000 ha or more, arising from single or multiple related ignition events ⁹⁸.

Sea Surface Temperatures (SST): sea surface temperature (SST) is defined as the temperature of the top few millimeters of the ocean. (https://ecowatch.noaa.gov/thematic/ sea-surface-temperature)

Tipping-point: for a system that has been disturbed, this is the point of no-return to the original conditions. Here, it applies to the point beyond which large areas of the Amazon no longer have sufficient rainfall to support broadleaf evergreen forests.

Várzea: Vegetation that is seasonally flooded by river waters rich in sediments and nutrients, descending from the Andes. However, the strong water deficits in land and aquatic systems were almost entirely due to increased global temperature¹¹. The intensity of the 2015-16 drought has also been linked to anthropogenic causes¹⁴.

Impacts on river levels and air

temperature. Over the last 120 years, 18 severe floods and 12 extreme hydrological droughts have been recorded at the port of Manaus, the only available series of Amazonian water levels that spans more than 100 years ¹⁻³. Analysis of this dataset indicates a significant trend of increasing frequency and magnitude of extreme floods over the last 120 years, including the largest water level ever measured in Manaus in 2021³. On the other hand, no long-term trend is identified regarding increasing hydrological droughts, although the number of extreme droughts has increased since 1995: six extreme droughts occurred between 1995 and 2023, compared to seven in the whole period of 1903-1994². Considering the critical level of emergency at the Manaus port for floods (>29 m) and hydrological droughts (<15.8 m), there is a significant increase of the annual amplitude of about 150 cm during the last 30 years, compared to the period before (Figure 2a). Regarding the duration of emergency of both extremes, until the 1990s, hydrological droughts had more impacts on riverine populations than floods, while floods have been stronger in the 21st century. The mean duration of flood emergencies is in general longer (53 ± 24 days) compared to droughts $(36 \pm 19 \text{ days}).$

This scenario was changed by the 2023-24 drought. Most of the main rivers in the Amazon, including the Solimões, Purus, Acre, and Branco rivers all suffered from extreme drops in their levels, or just simply dried up. In October 2023, the Rio Negro level in Manaus recorded its lowest level since measurements began in September 1902, 12.70m (the average annual minimum water level was 17.64 m for the 1902-2022 period). In the Peruvian Amazon, the Huallaga River at Tingo María showed an anomaly of -45% in the discharge in October 2023. The Mamoré-Guaporé and Madeira rivers in Bolivian territory remained very low due to deficient rainfall from July 2022 to June 2023. Generally, droughts related to El Niño events have a greater effect on rivers with headwaters in the northern hemisphere, as the period of reduced rainfall coincides with the natural low water period. However, the 2023 drought started much earlier due to the many synergetic effects reviewed above, and thus affected a broader range of rivers across the Amazon.

All the study regions in the Amazon have evidence of statistically significant warming trends during the last four decades (Figure 2b). Warming trends are higher for the Sep-Oct-Nov season than for the Jun-Jul-Aug season, and higher for the Southern and Eastern than the Northern and Western Amazon. Although the time series shows peaks of increased temperatures related to different drought episodes, it was in 2023 when the highest values of positive air temperature anomalies were observed ². Six heat waves during the 6-month period between June and November of 2023 in the western and northern regions exacerbated the effects of the lack of precipitation. The southwestern Amazon had a warmer austral winter and spring due to heat domes of hot and dry air. Maximum temperatures were between +2°C to +5°C above average over the affected Brazilian states of Amazonas, Rondônia, Roraima, and Acre in Sep-Oct-Nov



a. Annual maximum and minimum water levels of the Rio Negro

b. Temporal series of monthly surface air temperature anomalies averaged over the seasons



FIGURE 2 a) Annual maximum (floods, blue lines) and minimum (hydrological droughts, red) water levels of the Rio Negro monitored at the port of Manaus from 1902 to 2023 (central Amazon). Calendar years indicate extreme flood (>=29 m) and drought (<15.8 m) events (Source: J. Schöngart, INPA). b) Temporal series of monthly surface air temperature anomalies averaged over the seasons, JJA (June, July, and August) and SON (September, October, November) from 1980 to 2023. The dashed line refers to the linear trend, with the slope value (slp) in °C per decade. The slope's statistically significant values (p<0.05) are marked with an asterisk. Data points of anomalies are statistically different from zero at 1s and 2s levels and are colored yellow and red, respectively. Values of temperature anomalies were extracted from ERA5-Land reanalysis.

2023 trimester. Extreme low water levels and high incoming radiation caused water temperature in lakes (e.g. Lake Tefé, the central Amazon) to reach more than 40°C.

Global warming, combined with the AMO warm phase and increasing sea surface temperatures of the TNA are directly related to the increase in air temperature and the length and intensity of the dry season (in the order of 1-2 weeks), especially over Amazonian regions undergoing large-scale deforestation and fire ¹⁵. Combined, these processes are likely to reduce the return period of severe drought events in the upcoming years.

2. ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS OF DROUGHTS

Impacts on terra-firme ecosystems. Continuous long-term (~50 y) monitoring of non-flooded Amazonian forests and artificially-imposed droughts have shown the sensitivity of Amazonian forest' trees to low water supply, with increased tree mortality being the most consistent response across studies¹⁶⁻¹⁸. Remote sensing studies also suggest that droughts decrease the photosynthetic capacity of trees, and the magnitude of this effect has been increasing through time¹⁹. The most sensitive plants are those with low resistance to hydraulic failure, the largest trees more exposed to drier atmospheres and short-lived trees (as they both tend to have lower hydraulic resistance), and the smallest trees situated in forests within the driest Amazon regions, because of shallow roots ^{18–23}. These differential mortality patterns have been increasing the number of drought-tolerant species while decreasing the number of drought-intolerant species ²⁴,

which face the risk of disappearing. Repeated droughts will likely lead Amazonian forests to be dominated by a lower number of tree species, shorter in stature, and with higher hydraulic resistance.

Forests that naturally have longer dry seasons (dominant in the southern half of the Amazon) have been the most affected by strong droughts (Figure 3), with increased tree mortality and consequently biomass loss ^{25,26}. The negative effects of droughts are exacerbated by deforestation in the eastern and southern Amazon^{12,27,28}. At the same time, forests with constant access to groundwater supply (in valleys and lowlands) or forests that are able to exploit deep soil water reserves have shown more resilience to droughts, with no significant loss of biomass ^{29,30}. The carbon sink provided by tree growth across the Amazon (estimated in 0.42 to 0.65 tons of C per hectare per year between 1990-2007, around 25% of the terrestrial sink) has been decreasing in the past two decades ³⁰, but was especially affected by droughts, dropping to near zero shortly after the 2009-2010 and 2015-2016 droughts, due to lower tree growth and higher tree mortality ^{24,25}. This means that droughts can offset the carbon sink of forests, accelerating global warming. Moreover, the negative impacts of low water supply interact with those of increased temperature ³¹, such that droughts with multiple heatwaves, as in 2023, have the potential to accelerate forest biomass loss. Around 21% of the Amazon has been estimated to be degraded by the extreme droughts of this century³², without considering the impacts of the 2023-24 event.

Changes of forest structure caused by droughts – e.g. decreased canopy cover, disruption of understory regeneration – lead to a decline of terrestrial and aquatic fauna that depend on intact forests, which can in turn lead to empty forests ³³⁻³⁵. Droughtinduced changes in tree phenology may decrease fruit availability, leading to higher mortality rates of frugivore animals. Droughts also lead to physiological stress of arboreal fauna, decreasing the time dedicated to feeding with the ultimate effect of increasing mortality rates ³⁵. Frequent sequential extreme events (droughts and floods) increase the mortality rates of several terrestrial mammals ³⁵(white-lipped peccary, collared peccary, red brocket deer, black agouti, paca, giant anteaters, and nine-banded armadillo)





FIGURE 3 Ecological vulnerability of Amazonian regions based on the impacts of the 2015-16 drought and the intrinsic vulnerability of trees. The maps show that higher water deficit during droughts, climatic and hydraulic risks, and the combined risk of tree death increase towards the south and eastern Amazonian regions, with some patches of high risk in the central-eastern region. Water deficit was calculated as the Maximum Cumulative Water Deficit (MCWD) for the major droughts: 2005, 2009 and 2015. Climate risk was projected based on carbon-loss due to tree mortality from the 2015-16 drought, as a function of the historical annual water deficit ²⁶. Hydraulic risk represents the risk that trees will lose the capacity to conduct water ¹⁰⁰. The combined forest vulnerability to drought is the overlap of the Climatic and Hydraulic Risks, warmer colors indicate higher combined vulnerability to both factors.

that are key for the regulation of forest diversity ^{36,37}. Terrestrial and aquatic species are affected differently, as long periods of flooding have higher impacts on terrestrial species, decreasing population of terrestrial species such as white-lipped peccary and collared peccary, while long periods of drought can decrease aquatic animals populations of species such as manatees, river dolphins and several fishes ^{35,38}.

Impacts on seasonally flooded

ecosystems. Hydrological drought conditions in the Amazonian floodplains vary considerably as these areas experience low water levels in different periods of the year, depending on their geographic location, which has strong implications for plant-water availability and fire vulnerability. Droughts induced by severe El Niño events (December-March) coincide with low-water periods in the middle-upper Negro River, Branco River, and other Guyana Shield tributaries dominated by igapós²⁷. In contrast, várzea floodplains are mainly located in the southern hemisphere and tend to be less vulnerable to El Niñoinduced drought and fire hazards due to already increasing water levels during this period ³⁹. In regions where low-water stages coincide with the dry season, drought can increase floodplain tree mortality, especially of shallow-rooted seedlings and young trees of igapós. Igapós are also more vulnerable to droughts due to the mostly sandy or silty soils⁴⁰ which drain faster than the clay soils of várzeas – and the generally very shallow (≤ 40 cm) ⁴¹ rooting systems.

The forest canopy in the igapó is generally less stratified and lower, resulting in lower relative air humidity at the forest floor^{42,43}. This can cause these ecosystems to be highly vulnerable to fires ^{44,45}, as documented in the severe droughts of 1925-1926, 1982-1983, 1997-1998 and 2015-2016 44,46,47. The dry hydro-meteorological conditions generated by El Niño favors the spreading of understory fires along the soil surface, leading to massive tree mortality ⁴³. Further insights into the vulnerability of igapó trees to severe drought are provided by dams, such as Balbina, which induced a prolonged severe artificial drought in the downstream igapó floodplain causing widespread tree mortality⁴⁸. Secondary forests extending for several dozen kilometers along the Uatumã River downstream of the Balbina dam probably established and developed after the mass mortality of the former igapó forests ^{49,50}. In contrast, increased tree growth has been observed in the central Amazonian várzea during El Niño events, as the growing season of tree species during the nonflooded period is extended ^{51,52}. Based on these observations, we can assume that the ecological impacts for floodplain vegetation caused by the historical drought event of 2023 might be more intense in the igapó forests compared to the várzea forests.

Although occupying a smaller fraction of the Amazon (about 6-10% ^{53,54}), floodplains are capable of supporting a high abundance of animals and are essential for some stages of their life cycles, since many Amazonian aquatic species (e.g. manatees and many fishes, including arapaima) migrate to more permanent water bodies in the dry season ^{55–58}. However, extreme droughts cause the rapid isolation of water bodies from previously connected environments, and these migratory animals can become trapped in isolated and shallow water bodies ⁵³, which could lead to over-harvesting of animals trapped in shallow lakes. During the 2023

drought, however, hundreds of mammals (e.g., river dolphins) ⁵⁹ were killed due to increased water temperature and decreased oxygen concentration. Droughts also have long lasting effects on the aquatic fauna, such as the changes in the fish species' composition and functional types caused by the 2005 event that were still present nearly 10 years later ⁶⁰. In addition, the reduction of rivers' water volume may increase the risk of fire in the surrounding areas. There is evidence that forest cover is essential for maintaining fish diversity and productivity ^{58,60}, so the loss of vegetation may increase the rate of siltation, making water bodies shallower and interrupting the connections between water bodies.

Droughts and fire. Droughts greatly increase fire incidence in the Amazon, as reported in 2005, 2010 and 2015⁶¹, and 2023⁶², leading to a positive feedback loop between fires and droughts. High water deficits, widespread tree mortality, and litterfall generated by droughts increase fuel availability that turns once humid forests into more flammable systems. During 2005 (14,584 km²) and 2010 (32,815 km²), the total forest area burned was two to four times the mean for the 2001–2018 period ³². In the 2015 extreme drought, fire extended beyond the Arc of Deforestation, hitting areas in the central Amazon not previously impacted ⁶². The lower Tapajós region in the Eastern Amazon the epicenter of that drought - experienced unprecedented mega-wildfires, which burned around 10,000 km² of forests ⁶¹.

Carbon emissions are among the main impacts of forest fires during extreme Amazonian droughts. Forest fires have been estimated to be responsible for around a third of the carbon emissions attributed to deforestation during the 2003–2015 period and are more than half as great as those from old-growth deforestation during drought years ⁶². A single understory forest fire can reduce aboveground carbon stocks by up to 50% 63. In the lower Tapajós region, the 2015–16 El Niño and associated fires resulted in the estimated death of >2.5 billion woody stems, leading to the emission of 495 ± 94 Tg CO₂, with globally relevant impacts ⁶⁴. Such an area corresponds to only 1.2% of the Brazilian Amazon, but the emissions were larger than the mean annual CO₂ emissions from deforestation across the whole Brazilian Amazon between 2009 and 2018⁶⁴. In addition, wildfires can turn a forest into a net source of carbon for many years following the fire ⁶⁴, resulting in ~25% less stored carbon even after 30 years. Recurrent fires, which become more likely across time as more of the region is affected by droughts and fires, can lead to carbon losses of over 80% of aboveground carbon ⁶³.

Wildfires have significant effects on biodiversity, leading to high levels of community turnover, with the loss of sensitive species of high conservation value and functional importance, such as birds with smaller range sizes and plants with higher wood densities ^{64,65}. Recurrent fires profoundly change the forest structure and species composition, with larger changes for birds, beetles, trees, and frugivore and granivore mammals ^{66–68}, potentially leading to the loss of ecological services and lower food security for the traditional people who depend on forest products ³⁴. The high frequency of extreme droughts can turn Amazon forests into fire-prone ecosystems making fires a relevant driver of a possible tipping-point of the Amazon⁶⁹.

3. SOCIOECONOMIC IMPACTS OF DROUGHTS

Droughts pose great challenges to Amazonian people and can lead to both short-term and long-lasting socioeconomic impacts, particularly to the most vulnerable Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities (Figure 4). Droughts affect the livelihoods of the ~47 million people that live in the Amazon region in many ways: threats to water security and water quality (especially access to drinkable water) in rural and urban areas, food insecurity, uncertainties around the harvest of some natural products, impacts in local to regional economies, public health issues, interruption of transportation, decline in energy production, access to human rights, changes in cultural habits, and even compounding effects with other hazards such as river bank collapse. Within the Brazilian Amazon, approximately 8.5 million people, including Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities, inhabit areas with limited infrastructure and insufficient services to cope with the impacts of climate extremes ⁶⁶.

With rivers being the main transportation route in the region, thousands of people in both urban and rural areas are directly affected by isolation when droughts decrease river levels ⁶⁹, as occurred in 2005 ⁷⁰, especially those living in more remote tributaries. In 2023, around 150,000 families and more than 600,000 people ⁷¹, including Indigenous Peoples and the rural and river dwellers who depend on river transport to access food, water, medical assistance, and markets to sell products, were impacted by drought, becoming isolated for several months. For instance, in the State of Amazonas, Brazil, all 62 municipalities remained in a state of emergency for

many months. Another transport-related externality is the increase in the prices of goods, including food - the greater the distance of sales locations from distribution centers, generally located in large cities such as Manaus and Iquitos, the higher the price of goods will be during droughts. This phenomenon is not new: in the Brazilian Amazon in 2010 for example, 62,000 families felt the impact of drought, demanding government investment in the order of US \$13.5 million in emergency aid ⁷². Between 1997 and 2023, the state of Acre, Brazil experienced five instances where municipalities or states declared a state of emergency due to drought-induced water crises ⁷³. Furthermore, low river levels are also linked to disastrous landslides of the riverbanks, destroying houses and killing people 74.

Impacts of water shortage in transportation also affect household energy availability, which generally depends on fuel delivered by boat. For example, the energy shortage during the 2023 drought in São Gabriel da Cachoeira, upper Rio Negro - the city with the third largest Indigenous population in Brazil had a cascading effect on the functioning of other basic services such as healthcare and education. Operation of hydroelectric dams is also affected by low river levels. Ecuador introduced power cuts of several hours a day for two months due to the severe drought of 2023-2024 that hit the production of some hydroelectric plants . Manaus also experienced 6 hours of energy cuts daily due to the low level of the Balbina dam during the 1997 drought 75.

From uplands to lowlands, the Amazon food production and security are largely impacted by droughts and accompanying heatwaves. High air temperatures harm staple crops such as cacao,



FIGURE 4. Impacted sectors and transition pathways towards reduced socioeconomic impacts and better solutions for future droughts in the Amazon.

cassava, and extractive products such as açaí ^{76,77}, but also the large soy monocultures in deforested regions ⁷⁸. Fishing is affected due to challenges in accessing fishing lakes, transportation to the main markets, and the high mortality of fish during these events 72,79-82. The lack of access to markets hampers the commercialization of the communities' production ⁷⁶.

Health impacts caused by lack of access to medical services, increase of disease vectors, malnutrition, and fire smoke are a major concern during extreme droughts. Additionally, high air temperatures are very impactful to Amazonian people's health. Rural communities have been changing working hours to avoid the warmest afternoon hours, while classes have been canceled in schools due to excessive heat. Child hospitalization due to respiratory diseases caused by high fire incidence peaked in

drought-affected municipalities in 2005⁸³. The amplification of fire occurrences during severe droughts poses significant economic repercussions; for example, the Brazilian state of Acre alone had an estimated total economic loss of approximately US\$ 243.36 ± 85.05 million (7.03 \pm 2.45% of Acre's GDP) during the 2010 drought ⁸⁴. Waterborne diseases such as diarrhea are common during extreme droughts because of poor water quality. Compound drought-heatwave events can also lead to increased incidence of vector-borne diseases such as dengue ⁸⁵. Indeed, water insecurity is high during these dry periods because of inadequate infrastructure to access potable water and lack of public policies to solve this issue. Communities often have only small rainwater storage facilities ⁸⁶, depending on the adjacent water bodies - usually polluted during droughts⁸⁷. In 2023, even communities with groundwater wells remained without access to water and dependent on supply by local civil defenses. Furthermore, in general, several Amazon urban areas also present high levels of water insecurity.

As extreme droughts and floods become increasingly more frequent, climate-related migration has been reported from floodplains to uplands, and from rural to urban areas ^{70,88}. Seasonal and permanent migratory movements, from sub-regional (e.g., from communities to urban areas) to regional scales (e.g., from smaller to larger urban areas), occur in the Amazon due to different factors, including search for better access to education and other basic services ⁸⁹, posing additional challenges for the individuals' capacity to adapt to extreme climatic events.

The large social and cultural diversity across the Amazon means a very heterogeneous

pattern of drought-related socioeconomic impacts, including the transfer of traditional knowledge. The differences in social groups (e.g., Indigenous, afro-descendant, riverine (ribeirinhos, caboclos, etc.), predominant economic activities (e.g., fishing, farming, extractivism, urban services), gender and age, and the regional differences between countries and the Amazon regions (e.g. lowlands, Amazonian Andes, and foothills) require site-specific understanding and adaptation strategies to reduce the impacts of socio-climatic disasters. For instance, while climate extremes have increased rainfall and floods in the coast and Western Andes of Ecuador, droughts have reached the northern and eastern parts of the country. Populations in urban areas are impacted differently than rural communities.

Remote communities are often ignored by climate policies and have limited access to information and participation in the climate debate ^{88,90}, as well as their right of consent on the adopted strategies ⁹¹. This calls attention to the need of improving our understanding of the vulnerability of these people at regional and local scales ^{87,92}, and co-producing adaptation measures ^{87,92}. While Amazonian people generally agree on the perception of ongoing environmental and climate changes, such as increasing summer air temperatures, the perception about climate extremes differs among cultures ⁸⁸. Many communities report a higher unpredictability of climate and river regimes ⁷⁷ which hampers a proper adaptation to ongoing changes.

The socio-economic impacts of droughts in the Amazon region demand large and varied investments. At the national level, there is a notable disparity in budget allocation

to address climate-related disasters. In 2022, Amazon countries like Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru collectively spent only US\$ 287,829,541 on disaster management, significantly less than the US\$ 14,188,053,010 invested in carbon-intensive activities such as fossil fuel production – it is important to note, however, that these expenses are related to the whole countries, going beyond the Amazon region itself ⁹⁴. Colombia allocated the highest proportion of its budget, at US\$ 142 million (0.19% of its total budget), followed by Ecuador with US\$ 14 million (0.03%), Peru with US\$10 million (0.02%), Brazil with US\$ 121 million (0.01%), and Bolivia with US\$ 28,000 (0.0001%). This discrepancy shows that while the allocation of resources is limited, according to the Sustainable Finance Index, the cost for loss and damages may be higher with time. At the same time, as the Amazon gets closer to a tipping point, the cost associated to the increasing frequency and intensity of droughts is estimated to result in a loss of 45 billion dollars in the Gross Domestic Product up to 2050 across the largest countries of the basin (Brazil, Peru, Colombia, Bolivia, and Ecuador), mostly due to the loss of crops and the consequences of fires ⁹³.

All the socioeconomic impacts explained, and others not detailed, not addressed in the literature, or even unknown, can be addressed and understood under a broad umbrella of a human-rights approach. It is important, for example, to consider the mandates established in 2022 by the UNFCCC regarding the climate justice approach, including "losses and damages", and the rights of children and future generations to development. To date, national and local government responses to drought events have historically prioritized emergency relief assistance ^{71,94}. The current situation, however, requires that climate mitigation and adaptation plans are developed and fully implemented, and that these plans incorporate coping strategies in advance, considering future events, and establishing long-term adaptation strategies through co-production approaches with local populations ⁸⁹.

CONCLUSIONS

Mitigation of droughts requires serious effort to control global warming, deforestation, and forest degradation, as well as wide efforts on forest restoration ^{95,96}. Adaptation to droughts requires multisectoral approaches and strong governance, including interventions in infrastructure, agriculture, sanitation, potable water access (such as rainwater cisterns, more and deeper wells, nanotechnologybased filters, and distribution of emergency water treatment kits to remote communities), and health, and the establishment of early warning systems of droughts to minimize socio-economic and environmental impacts and losses. These require climate financing through adaptation, loss & damage budgets, national and local budgets, and green initiatives, as well as capacity building of local populations, and the development of socio-bioeconomy-based initiatives and forest restoration to tackle current and future challenges posed by droughts in the Amazon. It is necessary to foster collaboration between scientific and traditional knowledge systems, government, civil society, and the private sector to maximize effectiveness. This holistic approach will help to address identified issues and bolster our capacity to mitigate the impacts of droughts in the Amazonian region.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to those who contributed to this policy brief. This includes the expert opinions of Carlos Nobre, Marielos Peña-Claros, Germán Poveda, Susan Trumbore, Paulo Nobre, and Emilio Villanova and contributors to the Public Consultation James Albert (University of Lousiana at Lafayette), Bernardo Flores (Unversidade Federal de Santa Catarina), Miriam Marmontel (Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia), Mónica Moraes R. (Herbario Nacional de Bolivia), Hans ter Steege (Naturalis Biodiversity Center), and Ana Maria Gonzalez Velosa, Sandra Berman, Arthur Augusto De Freitas Catraio, Gabriela Sofia Flores, and Amy Juelsgaard from the World Bank. We are also grateful to the SPA Technical-Scientific Secretariat, particularly Julia Arieira, Federico Viscarra, and Daniel Bernstein. This policy brief was translated from English into Portuguese by Diego Brandão and into Spanish by Gabriela Arnal.

REFERENCES

1. Barichivich J, Gloor E, Peylin P, Brienen RJW, Schöngart J, Espinoza JC, Pattnayak KC. Recent intensification of Amazon flooding extremes driven by strengthened Walker circulation. *Science advances*. 2018;4(9):eaat8785-eaat8785. doi:10.1126/sciadv.aat8785

2. Espinoza JC, Jimenez JC, Marengo JA, Schongart J, Ronchail J, Lavado-Casimiro W, Ribeiro JVM. The new record of drought and warmth in the Amazon in 2023 related to regional and global climatic features. *Scientific Reports*. 2024;14(1):8107. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-58782-5 3. Espinoza JC, Marengo JA, Schongart J, Jimenez JC. The new historical flood of 2021 in the Amazon River compared to major floods of the 21st century: Atmospheric features in the context of the intensification of floods. *Weather and Climate Extremes*. 2022;35:100406. doi:10.1016/j. wace.2021.100406

4. Papastefanou P, Zang CS, Angelov Z, de Castro AA, Jimenez JC, De Rezende LFC, Ruscica RC, Sakschewski B, Sörensson AA, Thonicke K, Vera C, Viovy N, Von Randow C, Rammig A. Recent extreme drought events in the Amazon rainforest: assessment of different precipitation and evapotranspiration datasets and drought indicators. *Biogeosciences*. 2022;19(16):3843-3861. doi:10.5194/bg-19-3843-2022

5. Cai W, McPhaden MJ, Grimm AM, et al. Climate impacts of the El Niño–southern oscillation on South America. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*. 2020;1(4):215-231. doi:10.1038/ s43017-020-0040-3

6. Yoon JH, Zeng N. An Atlantic influence on Amazon rainfall. *Climate Dynamics*. 2010;34(2-3):249-264. doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0551-6

7. Espinoza JC, Ronchail J, Marengo JA, Segura H. Contrasting North–South changes in Amazon wet-day and dry-day frequency and related atmospheric features (1981–2017). *Climate Dynamics*. 2019;52(9-10):5413-5430. doi:10.1007/ s00382-018-4462-2

8. Liu T, Chen D, Yang L, Meng J, Wang Z, Ludescher J, Fan J, Yang S, Chen D, Kurths J, Chen X, Havlin S, Schellnhuber HJ. Teleconnections among tipping elements in the Earth system. *Nature Climate Change*. 2023;13(1):67-74. doi:10.1038/s41558-022-01558-4

9. Galaz V, Meacham M. Redirecting Flows
Navigating the Future of the Amazon.
Published online April 19, 2024. doi:10.48550/
ARXIV.2403.18521

10. Coelho CAS, Cavalcanti IAF, Costa SMS, Freitas SR, Ito ER, Luz G, Santos AF, Nobre CA, Marengo JA, Pezza AB. Climate diagnostics of three major drought events in the Amazon and illustrations of their seasonal precipitation predictions. *Meteorological Applications*. 2012;19(2):237-255. doi:10.1002/met.1324

11. Clarke B, Barnes C, Rodrigues R, Zachariah M, Stewart S, Raju E, Kimutai J, Philip S, Kew S, Bazo J. Climate Change, Not El Niño, Main Driver of Exceptional Drought in Highly Vulnerable Amazon River Basin. World Weather Attribution; 2024. https://www.worldweatherattribution.org/climatechange-not-el-nino-main-driver-of-exceptionaldrought-in-highly-vulnerable-amazon-river-basin/

12. Staal A, Flores BM, Aguiar APD, Bosmans JHC, Fetzer I, Tuinenburg OA. Feedback between drought and deforestation in the Amazon. *Environmental Research Letters*. 2020;15(4):044024. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ ab738e

13. Leite-Filho AT, Soares-Filho BS, Davis JL, Abrahão GM, Börner J. Deforestation reduces rainfall and agricultural revenues in the brazilian Amazon. *Nature Communications*. 2021;12(1):2591. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22840-7

14. Marengo J A, Cunha AP, Espinoza JC. Extremes of hydrometeorology and dry season length in Amazonia associated with the drought of 2023, Environmental Research Letters. Preprint published online, 2024.

15. Ribeiro Neto GG, Anderson LO, Barretos NJC, Abreu R, Alves L, Dong B, Lott FC, Tett SFB. Attributing the 2015/2016 Amazon basin drought to anthropogenic influence. *Climate Resilience and Sustainability*. 2022;1(1):e25. doi:10.1002/cli2.25

16. Marengo JA, Jimenez JC, Espinoza JC, Cunha AP, Aragão LEO. Increased climate pressure on the agricultural frontier in the Eastern Amazonia– Cerrado transition zone. *Scientific Reports*.

2022;12(1):457. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-04241-4

17. da Costa ACL, Rowland L, Oliveira RS, Oliveira AAR, Binks OJ, Salmon Y, Vasconcelos SS, Junior JAS, Ferreira LV, Poyatos R, Mencuccini M, Meir P. Stand dynamics modulate water cycling and mortality risk in droughted tropical forest. *Global Change Biology*. 2018;24(1):249-258. doi:10.1111/ gcb.13851

 Nepstad DC, Tohver IM, Ray D, Moutinho
 P, Cardinot G. Mortality of large trees and lianas following experimental drought in an
 Amazon forest. *Ecology*. 2007;88(9):2259-2269. doi:10.1890/06-1046.1

 Rowland L, da Costa ACL, Galbraith DR, Oliveira RS, Binks OJ, Oliveira A a. R, Pullen AM, Doughty CE, Metcalfe DB, Vasconcelos SS, Ferreira LV, Malhi Y, Grace J, Mencuccini M, Meir P. Death from drought in tropical forests is triggered by hydraulics not carbon starvation. *Nature*.
 2015;528(7580):119-122. doi:10.1038/nature15539

20. Aleixo I, Norris D, Hemerik L, Barbosa A, Prata E, Costa F, Poorter L. Amazonian rainforest tree mortality driven by climate and functional traits. *Nature Climate Change*. 2019;9(5):384-388. doi:10.1038/s41558-019-0458-0

21. Brum M, Vadeboncoeur MA, Ivanov V, Asbjornsen H, Saleska S, Alves LF, Penha D, Dias JD, Aragão LEOC, Barros F, Bittencourt P, Pereira L, Oliveira RS. Hydrological niche segregation defines forest structure and drought tolerance strategies in a seasonal Amazon forest. *Journal of Ecology*. 2019;107(1):318-333. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.13022

22. Esquivel-Muelbert A, Baker TR, Dexter KG, et al. Compositional response of Amazon forests to climate change. *Global Change Biology*. 2019;25(1):39-56. doi:10.1111/gcb.14413

23. Barros FDV, Bittencourt PRL, Brum M, et al. Hydraulic traits explain differential responses of Amazonian forests to the 2015 El Niño-induced drought. *New Phytologist*. 2019;223(3):1253-1266. doi:10.1111/nph.15909

24. Powers JS, Vargas G. G, Brodribb TJ, et al. A catastrophic tropical drought kills hydraulically vulnerable tree species. *Global Change Biology*. 2020;26(5):3122-3133. doi:10.1111/gcb.15037

25. Feldpausch TR, Phillips OL, Brienen RJW, et al. Amazon forest response to repeated droughts. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*. 2016;30(7):964-982. doi:10.1002/2015gb005133

26. Bennett AC, Rodrigues De Sousa T, Monteagudo-Mendoza A, et al. Sensitivity of South American tropical forests to an extreme climate anomaly. *Nature Climate Change*. 2023;13(9):967-974. doi:10.1038/s41558-023-01776-4

27. Laurance WF, Williamson GB. Positive feedbacks among forest fragmentation, drought, and climate change in the Amazon. *Conservation Biology*. 2001;15(6):1529-1535. doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.2001.01093.x

28. Nobre CA, Sellers PJ, Shukla J. Amazonian deforestation and regional climate change. *Journal of Climate*. 1991;4(10):957-988. doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1991)004<0957:ADARCC>2.0.CO;2

29. Phillips OL, van der Heijden G, Lewis SL, et al. Drought–mortality relationships for tropical forests. *New Phytologist*. 2010;187(3):631-646. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03359.x

30. Costa FRC, Schietti J, Stark SC, Smith MN. The other side of tropical forest drought: do shallow water table regions of Amazonia act as large-scale hydrological refugia from drought? *New Phytologist*. 2023;237(3):714-733. doi:10.1111/nph.17914

31. Brienen RJW, Phillips OL, Feldpausch TR, et al. Long-term decline of the Amazon carbon sink. *Nature*. 2015;519(7543):344-348. doi:10.1038/ nature14283 32. Sullivan MJP, Lewis SL, Affum-Baffoe K, et al. Long-term thermal sensitivity of Earth's tropical forests. Science. 2020;368(6493):869-874. doi:10.1126/science.aaw7578

33. Lapola DM, Pinho P, Barlow J, et al. The drivers and impacts of Amazon forest degradation.Science. 2023;379(6630). doi:10.1126/science.abp8622

34. Wilkie DS, Bennett EL, Peres C a., Cunningham A a. The empty forest revisited. Annals of the New York *Academy of Sciences*. 2011;1223(1):120-128. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05908.x

35. Bodmer R, Mayor P, Antunez M, Chota K, Fang T, Puertas P, Pittet M, Kirkland M, Walkey M, Rios C, Perez-Peña P, Henderson P, Bodmer W, Bicerra A, Zegarra J, Docherty E. Major shifts in Amazon wildlife populations from recent intensification of floods and drought. *Conservation Biology*. 2018;32(2):333-344. doi:10.1111/cobi.12993

36. Young C, Bonnell TR, Brown LR, Dostie MJ, Ganswindt A, Kienzle S, McFarland R, Henzi SP, Barrett L. Climate induced stress and mortality in vervet monkeys. *Royal Society open science*. 2019;6(11):191078-191078. doi:10.1098/rsos.191078

 Lacher TE, Davidson AD, Fleming TH, Gómez-Ruiz EP, McCracken GF, Owen-Smith N, Peres CA, Vander Wall SB. The functional roles of mammals in ecosystems. *Journal of Mammalogy*.
 2019;100(3):942-964. doi:10.1093/jmammal/gyy183

38. Bogoni JA, Peres CA, Ferraz KMPMB. Effects of mammal defaunation on natural ecosystem services and human well being throughout the entire Neotropical realm. *Ecosystem Services*. 2020;45:101173. doi:10.1016/j.ecoser.2020.101173

39. Fassoni-Andrade AC, Fleischmann AS, Papa F, et al. Amazon hydrology from space: scientific advances and future challenges. Published online March 25, 2021. doi:10.1002/essoar.10506527.1 40. Schöngart J, Wittmann F, Junk WJ, Piedade MTF. Vulnerability of Amazonian floodplains to wildfires differs according to their typologies impeding generalizations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2017;114(41):E8550-E8551. doi:10.1073/pnas.1713734114

41. Wittmann F, Householder JE, Piedade MTF, Schöngart J, Demarchi LO, Quaresma AC, Junk WJ. A Review of the ecological and biogeographic differences of amazonian floodplain forests. *Water*. 2022;14(21):3360. doi:10.3390/w14213360

42. Santos AR dos, Nelson BW. Leaf decomposition and fine fuels in floodplain forests of the Rio Negro in the Brazilian Amazon. *Journal of Tropical Ecology*. 2013;29(5):455-458. doi:10.1017/ s0266467413000485

43. Almeida DRA de, Nelson BW, Schietti J, Gorgens EB, Resende AF, Stark SC, Valbuena R. Contrasting fire damage and fire susceptibility between seasonally flooded forest and upland forest in the Central Amazon using portable profiling LiDAR. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 2016;184:153-160. doi:10.1016/j.rse.2016.06.017

44. Resende AF de, Nelson BW, Flores BM, de Almeida DR. Fire damage in seasonally flooded and upland forests of the central Amazon. *Biotropica*. 2014;46(6):643-646. doi:10.1111/btp.12153

45. Carvalho TC, Wittmann F, Piedade MTF, Resende AF de, Silva TSF, Schöngart J. Fires in amazonian blackwater floodplain forests: causes, human dimension, and implications for conservation. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change*. 2021;4. doi:10.3389/ffgc.2021.755441

46. Flores BM, Piedade MTF, Nelson BW. Fire disturbance in Amazonian blackwater floodplain forests. *Plant Ecology & Diversity*. 2014;7(1-2):319-327. doi:10.1080/17550874.2012.716086

47. Flores BM, Holmgren M. White-sand savannas expand at the core of the Amazon after forest wildfires. *Ecosystems*. 2021;24(7):1624-1637. doi:10.1007/s10021-021-00607-x

48. Williams E, Dall' Antonia A, Dall' Antonia V, Almeida JM de, Suarez F, Liebmann B, Malhado ACM. The drought of the century in the Amazon Basin: an analysis of the regional variation of rainfall in South America in 1926. *Acta Amazonica*. 2005;35(2):231-238. doi:10.1590/s0044-59672005000200013

49. Resende AF, Piedade MTF, Feitosa YO, Andrade VHF, Trumbore SE, Durgante FM, Macedo MO, Schöngart J. Flood-pulse disturbances as a threat for long-living Amazonian trees. *New Phytologist*. 2020;227(6):1790-1803. doi:10.1111/nph.16665

50. Neves JRD, Piedade MTF, Resende AF de, Feitosa YO, Schöngart J. Impact of climatic and hydrological disturbances on blackwater floodplain forests in Central Amazonia. *Biotropica*. 2019;51(4):484-489. doi:10.1111/btp.12667

51. Salerno L, Moreno-Martínez Á, Izquierdo-Verdiguier E, Clinton N, Siviglia A, Camporeale C. Satellite analyses unravel the multi-decadal impact of dam management on tropical floodplain vegetation. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*. 2022;10. doi:10.3389/fenvs.2022.871530

52. Schöngart J, Junk WJ, Piedade MTF, Ayres JM, Hüttermann A, Worbes M. Teleconnection between tree growth in the Amazonian floodplains and the El Niño–Southern Oscillation effect. *Global Change Biology*. 2004;10(5):683-692. doi:10.1111/ j.1529-8817.2003.00754.x

53. Schöngart J, Piedade MTF, Wittmann F, Junk WJ, Worbes M. Wood growth patterns of Macrolobium acaciifolium (Benth.) Benth. (Fabaceae) in Amazonian black-water and white-water floodplain forests. *Oecologia*. 2005;145(3):454-461. doi:10.1007/s00442-005-0147-8 54. Melack JM, Hess LL. Remote sensing of the distribution and extent of wetlands in the Amazon basin. *Ecological Studies*. Published online 2010:43-59. doi:10.1007/978-90-481-8725-6_3

55. Junk WJ, Piedade, M.T.F., Wittmann, F., Schöngart, J. *Várzeas Amazônicas: desafios para um manejo sustentável*. Editora INPA; 2020.

56. Arraut EM, Arraut JL, Marmontel M, Mantovani JE, Novo EMLDM. Bottlenecks in the migration routes of Amazonian manatees and the threat of hydroelectric dams. *Acta Amazonica*. 2017;47(1):7-18. doi:10.1590/1809-4392201600862

57. Ribeiro MCL de B, Petrere MJ. Fisheries ecology and management of the Jaraqui (Semaprochilodus Taeniurus, S. Insignis) in central Amazonia. *Regulated Rivers: Research* & Management. 1990;5(3):195-215. doi:10.1002/ rrr.3450050302

58. Fernandes CC. Lateral migration of fishes in Amazon floodplains. *Ecology of Freshwater Fish*. 1997;6(1):36-44. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0633.1997. tb00140.x

59. Castello L, Hess LL, Thapa R, McGrath DG, Arantes CC, Renó VF, Isaac VJ. Fishery yields vary with land cover on the Amazon River floodplain. *Fish and Fisheries*. 2017;19(3):431-440. doi:10.1111/ faf.12261

60. Arantes CC, Winemiller KO, Petrere M, Castello L, Hess LL, Freitas CEC. Relationships between forest cover and fish diversity in the Amazon River floodplain. *Journal of Applied Ecology.* 2017;55(1):386-395. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12967

61. Aragão LEOC, Anderson LO, Fonseca MG, et al. 21st Century drought-related fires counteract the decline of Amazon deforestation carbon emissions. *Nature communications*. 2018;9(1):536-536. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02771-y 62. Mataveli G, Jones MW, Carmenta R, Sanchez A, Dutra DJ, Chaves M, de Oliveira G, Anderson LO, Aragão LEOC. Deforestation falls but rise of wildfires continues degrading Brazilian Amazon forests. *Global Change Biology*. 2024;30(2):e17202. doi:10.1111/gcb.17202

63. Withey K, Berenguer E, Palmeira AF, Espírito-Santo FDB, Lennox GD, Silva CVJ, Aragão LEOC, Ferreira J, França F, Malhi Y, Rossi LC, Barlow J. Quantifying immediate carbon emissions from El Niño-mediated wildfires in humid tropical forests. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences*. 2018;373(1760):20170312. doi:10.1098/ rstb.2017.0312

64. Barlow J, Parry L, Gardner TA, Ferreira J, Aragão LEOC, Carmenta R, Berenguer E, Vieira ICG, Souza C, Cochrane MA. The critical importance of considering fire in REDD+ programs. *Biological Conservation*. 2012;154:1-8. doi:10.1016/j. biocon.2012.03.034

65. Berenguer E, Lennox GD, Ferreira J, et al. Tracking the impacts of El Niño drought and fire in human-modified Amazonian forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2021;118(30):e2019377118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2019377118

66. Barlow J, Lennox GD, Ferreira J, et al. Anthropogenic disturbance in tropical forests can double biodiversity loss from deforestation. *Nature*. 2016;535(7610):144-147. doi:10.1038/ nature18326

67. França FM, Ferreira J, Vaz-de-Mello FZ, Maia LF, Berenguer E, Ferraz Palmeira A, Fadini R, Louzada J, Braga R, Hugo Oliveira V, Barlow J. El Niño impacts on human-modified tropical forests: Consequences for dung beetle diversity and associated ecological processes. *Biotropica*. 2020;52(2):252-262. doi:10.1111/btp.12756 68. Silveira JM, Louzada J, Barlow J, Andrade R, Mestre L, Solar R, Lacau S, Cochrane MA. A multi-taxa assessment of biodiversity change after single and recurrent wildfires in a brazilian Amazon forest. *Biotropica*. 2015;48(2):170-180. doi:10.1111/btp.12267

69. Barlow J, Peres CA. Ecological responses to el Niño-induced surface fires in central Brazilian Amazonia: management implications for flammable tropical forests. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B, Biological sciences*. 2004;359(1443):367-380. doi:10.1098/rstb.2003.1423

70. Flores BM, Montoya E, Sakschewski B, et al. Critical transitions in the Amazon forest system. *Nature*. 2024;626(7999):555-564. doi:10.1038/ s41586-023-06970-0

71. Lapola DM, Pinho P, Quesada CA, Strassburg BBN, Rammig A, Kruijt B, Brown F, Ometto JPHB, Premebida A, Marengo JA, Vergara W, Nobre CA. Limiting the high impacts of Amazon forest dieback with no-regrets science and policy action. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2018;115(46):11671-11679. doi:10.1073/ pnas.1721770115

72. UNICEF. Brazil Humanitarian Situation Report No. 2 (Amazon Drought).; 2023. Accessed February 16, 2024. https://www.unicef.org/mali/ media/1561/file/ParisPrinciples.pdf

73. Marengo JA, Borma LS, Rodriguez DA, Pinho P, Soares WR, Alves LM. Recent extremes of drought and flooding in Amazonia: vulnerabilities and human adaptation. *American Journal of Climate Change*. 2013;02(02):87-96. doi:10.4236/ ajcc.2013.22009

74. Silva SS da, Brown F, Sampaio A de O, Silva ALC, Santos NCRS dos, Lima AC, Aquino AM de S, Silva PH da C, Moreira JG do V, Oliveira I, Costa AA, Fearnside PM. Amazon climate extremes: Increasing droughts and floods in Brazil's state of Acre. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation*. 2023;21(4):311-317. doi:10.1016/j. pecon.2023.10.006

75. Bandeira ICN, Adamy A, Andretta ER, Costa da Conceição RA, de Andrade MMN. Terras caídas: Fluvial erosion or distinct phenomenon in the Amazon? *Environmental Earth Sciences*. 2018;77(6). doi:10.1007/s12665-018-7405-7

76. Parry L, Davies G, Almeida O, Frausin G, de Moraés A, Rivero S, Filizola N, Torres P. Social vulnerability to climatic shocks Is shaped by urban accessibility. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*. 2018;108(1):125-143. doi:10.1080/24694452.2017.1325726

77. Ávila JV da C, Clement CR, Junqueira AB, Ticktin T, Steward AM. Adaptive management strategies of local communities in two Amazonian floodplain ecosystems in the face of extreme climate events. *Journal of ethnobiology*. 2021;41(3):409-426. doi:10.2993/0278-0771-41.3.409

78. Tregidgo D, Campbell AJ, Rivero S, Freitas MAB, Almeida O. Vulnerability of the Açaí palm to climate change. *Human Ecology*. 2020;48(4):505-514. doi:10.1007/s10745-020-00172-2

79. Gusso A, Ducati JR, Veronez MR, Arvor D, Da Silveira LG. Monitoring the vulnerability of soybean to heat waves and their impacts in Mato Grosso state, Brazil. In: *2014 IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*. IEEE; 2014:859-862. doi:10.1109/IGARSS.2014.6946560

80. Anderson LO, Pinheiro RLG. Impacto das cheias na estrutura física das escolas da várzea de Santarém. *Revista Ibero-Americana de Ciências Ambientais*. 2022;13(3):294-313. doi:10.6008/ cbpc2179-6858.2022.003.0024

81. Marengo JA, Espinoza JC. Extreme seasonal droughts and floods in Amazonia: causes, trends

and impacts. *International Journal of Climatology*. 2016;36(3):1033-1050. doi:10.1002/joc.4420

82. Pinheiro JAC, Gonçalves VVC, Pereira HS, Fraxe TJP, Oka JM, Siqueira-Souza F, Freitas CEC. Perception of Amazonian fishers regarding environmental changes as causes of drastic events of fish mortality. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*. 2022;82. doi:10.1590/1519-6984.263339

83. Smith LT, Aragão LEOC, Sabel CE, Nakaya
T. Drought impacts on children's respiratory
health in the Brazilian Amazon. *Scientific reports*.
2014;4:3726-3726. doi:10.1038/srep03726

84. Campanharo W, Lopes A, Anderson L, da Silva T, Aragão L. TTranslating fire impacts in southwestern Amazonia into economic costs. *Remote Sensing*. 2019;11(7):764. doi:10.3390/ rs11070764

85. Barcellos C, Matos V, Lana RM, Lowe R. Climate change, thermal anomalies, and the recent progression of dengue in Brazil. *Scientific Reports.* 2024;14(1):5948. doi:10.1038/s41598-024-56044-y

86. Gomes MCRL, Andrade LC de, Nascimento ACS do, Pedro JPB, Filho CRM. Conditions of use and levels of household access to water in rural communities in the Amazon. *Ambiente* & *Sociedade*. 2022;25. doi:10.1590/1809-4422asoc20210178r12vu2022I4oa

87. Sena JA, Beser de Deus LA, Freitas MAV, Costa L. Extreme events of droughts and floods in Amazonia: 2005 and 2009. *Water Resources Management*. 2012;26(6):1665-1676. doi:10.1007/ s11269-012-9978-3

88. Funatsu BM, Dubreuil V, Racapé A,
Debortoli NS, Nasuti S, Le Tourneau FM.
Perceptions of climate and climate change by
Amazonian communities. *Global Environmental Change*. 2019;57:101923. doi:10.1016/j.
gloenvcha.2019.05.007 89. Pereira HC, Nascimento ACS do, Moura EAF, Corrêa DSS, Chagas HC das. Migração rural-urbana por demanda educacional no Médio Solimões, Amazonas. *Revista Brasileira de Educação*. 2022;27. doi:10.1590/s1413-24782022270029

90. Parry L, Radel C, Adamo SB, Clark N, Counterman M, Flores-Yeffal N, Pons D, Romero-Lankao P, Vargo J. The (in)visible health risks of climate change. *Social science & medicine* (1982). 2019;241:112448-112448. doi:10.1016/j. socscimed.2019.112448

91. Lago MC do, Rebelo GH, Bruno AC, Henriques LMP. Tikuna perceptions of extreme weather events: a case study on an indigenous lands in the Upper Solimões River, Brazil. *Ethnobiology and Conservation*. 2024;13. doi:10.15451/ec2024-01-13.07-1-19

92. Langill JC, Abizaid C, Takasaki Y, Coomes OT. Integrated multi-scalar analysis of vulnerability to environmental hazards: Assessing extreme flooding in western Amazonia. *Global Environmental Change*. 2022;76:102585. doi:10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2022.102585

93. Banerjee O, Cicowiez M, Macedo MN, Malek Ž, Verburg PH, Goodwin S, Vargas R, Rattis L, Bagstad KJ, Brando PM, Coe MT, Neill C, Marti OD, Murillo JÁ. Can we avert an Amazon tipping point? The economic and environmental costs. *Environmental Research Letters*. 2022;17(12):125005. doi:10.1088/1748-9326/ aca3b8

94. Pinho PF, Marengo JA, Smith MS. Complex socio-ecological dynamics driven by extreme events in the Amazon. *Regional Environmental Change*. 2015;15(4):643-655. doi:10.1007/s10113-014-0659-z

95. Barlow J, Anderson L, Berenguer E, Brancalion P, Carvalho N, Ferreira J, Garrett R, Jakovac C, Nascimento N, Peña-Claros M, Rodrigues R, Valentim JF. *Transforming the Amazon through 'Arcs of Restoration.'* 1st ed. Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN); 2022:1-12 p. doi:10.55161/KJCS2175

96. Sist P, Peña-Claros M, Baldiviezo Calles JP, Derroire G, Kanashiro M, Mendoza Ortega K, Piponiot C, Roopsind A, Veríssimo A, Vidal E, Wortel V, Putz FE. *Forest Management for Timber Production and Forest Landscape Restoration in the Amazon: The Way towards Sustainability*. Sustainable Development Solutions Network (SDSN); 2023:1-12p. doi:10.55161/WXNQ3205

97. Henny van Lanen, Jürgen V. Vogt, Joaquín Andreu, et al. Climatological risk: droughts. In: *Science for Disaster Risk Management* 2017: Knowing Better and Losing Less. ; 2017. Accessed June 18, 2024. https://drmkc.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ portals/0/Knowledge/ScienceforDRM/ch03_ s03/ch03_s03_subch0309.pdf

98. Linley GD, Jolly CJ, Doherty TS, et al. What do you mean, 'megafire'? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. 2022;31(10):1906-1922. doi:10.1111/ geb.13499

99. Cunha APMA, Zeri M, Deusdará Leal K, Costa L, Cuartas LA, Marengo JA, Tomasella J, Vieira RM, Barbosa AA, Cunningham C, Cal Garcia JV, Broedel E, Alvalá R, Ribeiro-Neto G. Extreme drought events over Brazil from 2011 to 2019. *Atmosphere*. 2019;10(11):642. doi:10.3390/ atmos10110642

100. Garcia MN, Domingues TF, Oliveira RS, Costa FRC. The biogeography of embolism resistance across resource gradients in the Amazon. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*. 2023;32(12):2199-2211. doi:10.1111/geb.13765

MORE INFORMATION AT theamazonwewant.org



AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Flávia R.C. Costa: Coordenação de Pesquisas em Dinâmica Ambiental, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Av André Araújo 2223, Manaus, Amazonas, 69067-375 Brazil.

José Antonio Marengo: Centro Nacional de Monitoramento e Alertas de Desastres Naturais - CEMADEN, Estrada Doutor Altino Bondesan, 500 - Distrito de Eugênio de Melo, São José dos Campos, São Paulo,12.247-060, Brazil. jose.marengo@ cemaden.gov.br

Ana Luisa M. Albernaz: Coordenação de Ciências da Terra e Ecologia, Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Av. Magalhães Barata, 376, Belém, Pará, 66040-170 Brazil.

Ana Paula Cunha: Centro Nacional de Monitoramento e Alertas de Desastres Naturais - CEMADEN, Estrada Doutor Altino Bondesan, 500 - Distrito de Eugênio de Melo, São José dos Campos, São Paulo,12.247-060, Brazil.

Nicolás Cuvi: Departamento de Antropología, Historia y Humanidades, Facultad Latinoamericana de Ciencias Sociales, Sede Ecuador, La Pradera e7 174 y Diego de Almagro, Quito, 170157, Ecuador.

Jhan-Carlo Espinoza: Directeur de Recherche, Institut de Recherche pour le Developement (IRD); IGE Univ. Grenoble Alpes, IRD, CNRS (UMR 5001 / UR 252) – France; Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú. Lima, Peru.

Joice Ferreira: EMBRAPA Amazônia Oriental, Trav. Dr. Enéas Pinheiro s/n°, Bairro Marco, Belém Pará, 66095-903 Brazil.

Ayan Santos Fleischmann: Instituto de Desenvolvimento Sustentável Mamirauá, Estrada do Bexiga, 2584, 69553-225, Tefé, Amazonas, Brazil

Juan Carlos Jimenez-Muñoz Global Change Unit (GCU) of the Image Processing Laboratory (IPL), Universitat de València Estudi General (UVEG), C/ Catedrático José Beltrán 2, 46980 Paterna, Valencia, Spain.

María Belén Páez: Fundación Pachamama Mayurah, El Potrero vía Lumbisí, Alfonso Lamiña, Quito, 170157, Ecuador.

Luciano Carramaschi de Alagão Querido: Coordenação de Pesquisas em Biodiversidade, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), Av André Araújo 2223, Manaus, Amazonas, 69067-375 Brazil.

Jochen Schöngart: Departamento de Dinâmica Ambiental, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia (INPA), 2936, Av. André Araújo, Manaus, Amazonas 69067-375, Brazil

CONTACT

SPA Technical Secretariat New York 475 Riverside Drive | Suite 530 New York NY 10115 USA +1 (212) 870-3920 | spa@unsdsn.org